

CHAPTER FOUR

SAFETY

SUBSTANTIATED CASES OF ABUSE AND/OR NEGLECT

CHILD DEATHS (AGES 1 – 14)

PREVENTABLE TEEN DEATHS (AGES 15 – 19)



Substantiated Abuse and Neglect

Connecticut's rate of substantiated child abuse and neglect declined from SFY 2006 to SFY 2008, but the decrease was the smallest in recent years.

Child maltreatment can include child abuse, either physical or emotional, and/or child neglect. Physical and emotional abuse may occur separately, but often overlap. Physical abuse is defined as any non-accidental physical injury to the child, while emotional abuse is defined as injury to the psychological capacity and emotional stability of the child, therefore making it more difficult to identify.

Child neglect makes up over 60 percent of the abuse and neglect cases in Connecticut.¹ Though state law attempts to protect families from a finding of neglect simply for being poor, neglect is often the result of poverty, stemming from reduced access to basic needs, lack of resources, and stressful living conditions.² Definitions vary by state, but in Connecticut, child neglect is defined as the failure, whether intentional or not, of the person responsible for the child's care, to provide and maintain adequate food, clothing, medical care, supervision, and/or education.³

In an effort to appropriately address and respond to the different factors which contribute to varying levels and types of child maltreatment, a Differential Response System (DRS) is in development for Connecticut. This model provides different pathways or tracks depending on the severity of the allegations. DRS is especially promising in terms of addressing cases defined as neglect in which poverty is the primary factor contributing to compromised safety or threats to a child's well-being.⁴



Various programs across the state help prevent families from falling victim to the stresses which often lead to child maltreatment. Community based services provide prevention, intervention and treatment services to families, including parent education and home visiting programs to expectant parents. With proper supports and resources in place around the state, future incidents of child maltreatment can be prevented.⁵

Sarah Chasse

*Regional Coordinator, New England Consortium
Connecticut Association for Human Services*

Endnotes

- 1 KidSafeConnecticut. (n.d.) *Abuse and Neglect*. Retrieved August 10, 2010 from <http://www.kidsafect.org/abuse.html>
- 2 Lau, K., Morse, R., Kruse, K. (2008). *Mandated reporting of child abuse and neglect: A practical guide for social workers*. New York: Springer Publishing Company.
- 5 Connecticut Department of Children and Families. (2007). *Definitions of child abuse and neglect*.
- 4 Casey Family Services, Connecticut Department of Children and Families Differential Response System Executive Report, June 2010.
- 5 National Conference of State Legislatures. (n.d.). "States Using Evidence-Based Methods to Prevent Child Abuse". *Public Health News*, May 2004.

Substantiated Cases of Abuse and/or Neglect

Locality	SFY 2006		SFY 2008	
	Sub. Cases	Rate/1,000	Sub. Cases	Rate/1,000
Fairfield Co.	1,590	6.9	1,539	6.7
Bethel	*	*	20	4.0
Bridgeport	642	16.4	582	15.0
Brookfield	*	*	*	*
Danbury	133	7.7	116	6.7
Darien	*	*	*	*
Easton	11	5.2	14	6.7
Fairfield	31	2.3	28	2.1
Greenwich	67	4.2	42	2.7
Monroe	*	*	19	3.4
New Canaan	14	2.2	17	2.7
New Fairfield	*	*	13	3.1
Newtown	13	1.6	25	3.2
Norwalk	248	13.3	192	10.4
Redding	*	*	*	*
Ridgefield	*	*	*	*
Shelton	48	5.1	47	5.0
Sherman	*	*	*	*
Stamford	267	10.1	289	11.0
Stratford	72	6.3	77	6.9
Trumbull	18	2.0	35	3.9
Weston	*	*	*	*
Westport	26	3.5	23	3.1
Wilton	*	*	*	*
Hartford Co.	2,740	12.7	2,260	10.5
Avon	30	6.6	20	4.4
Berlin	14	2.8	29	5.8
Bloomfield	26	5.9	32	7.2
Bristol	362	25.5	240	17.0
Burlington	11	4.3	*	*
Canton	15	5.9	14	5.5
East Granby	*	*	11	8.2
East Hartford	209	17.7	165	14.1
East Windsor	20	8.5	25	10.4
Enfield	153	14.9	157	15.5
Farmington	21	3.4	*	*
Glastonbury	15	1.7	20	2.2
Granby	12	3.9	*	*
Hartford	694	18.9	633	17.3
Hartland	*	*	*	*
Manchester	213	16.8	192	14.9
Marlborough	*	*	*	*
New Britain	543	31.7	399	23.4
Newington	52	8.5	36	5.9
Plainville	55	15.0	29	7.9
Rocky Hill	17	4.6	11	3.0
Simsbury	22	3.2	*	*
South Windsor	18	2.9	43	7.0
Southington	66	5.7	29	2.5

Locality	SFY 2006		SFY 2008	
	Sub. Cases	Rate/1,000	Sub. Cases	Rate/1,000
Hartford Co. cont.				
Suffield	*	*	12	3.6
West Hartford	54	3.9	61	4.4
Wethersfield	46	8.8	24	4.6
Windsor	44	6.2	39	5.5
Windsor Locks	28	9.5	39	13.2
Litchfield Co.	256	5.5	198	4.3
Barkhamsted	*	*	*	*
Bethlehem	*	*	*	*
Bridgewater	*	*	*	*
Canaan	*	*	*	*
Colebrook	*	*	*	*
Cornwall	*	*	*	*
Goshen	*	*	*	*
Harwinton	*	*	*	*
Kent	*	*	*	*
Litchfield	*	*	*	*
Morris	*	*	*	*
New Hartford	*	*	*	*
New Milford	50	6.4	34	4.4
Norfolk	*	*	*	*
North Canaan	*	*	*	*
Plymouth	44	14.0	23	7.4
Roxbury	*	*	*	*
Salisbury	*	*	*	*
Sharon	*	*	*	*
Thomaston	*	*	*	*
Torrington	109	1.3	104	1.3
Warren	*	*	*	*
Washington	*	*	*	*
Watertown	26	4.7	*	*
Winchester	27	10.7	37	14.8
Woodbury	*	*	*	*
Middlesex Co.	247	6.5	209	5.5
Chester	*	*	*	*
Clinton	18	5.3	18	5.3
Cromwell	11	3.8	19	6.5
Deep River	*	*	*	*
Durham	*	*	*	*
East Haddam	13	5.8	*	*
East Hampton	*	*	14	4.2
Essex	*	*	*	*
Haddam	*	*	*	*
Killingworth	13	7.5	*	*
Middlefield	*	*	*	*
Middletown	176	18.0	125	12.6
Old Saybrook	*	*	19	8.3
Portland	16	6.5	14	5.7
Westbrook	*	*	*	*

Locality	SFY 2006		SFY 2008	
	Sub. Cases	Rate/1,000	Sub. Cases	Rate/1,000
New Haven Co.	3,069	14.8	2,583	12.5
Ansonia	102	22.6	54	12.1
Beacon Falls	*	*	*	*
Bethany	*	*	*	*
Branford	41	6.8	39	6.5
Cheshire	*	*	16	2.2
Derby	30	11.1	32	11.9
East Haven	83	13.0	74	11.7
Guilford	15	2.6	22	3.9
Hamden	92	7.6	84	7.0
Madison	*	*	*	*
Meriden	396	25.9	382	25.1
Middlebury	*	*	*	*
Milford	103	8.4	137	11.0
Naugatuck	119	13.9	83	9.7
New Haven	978	31.0	851	27.1
North Branford	*	*	*	*
North Haven	20	3.7	25	4.6
Orange	*	*	*	*
Oxford	*	*	*	*
Prospect	*	*	11	4.7
Seymour	12	0.3	31	0.8
Southbury	*	*	*	*
Wallingford	73	6.8	83	7.7
Waterbury	835	29.3	472	16.6
West Haven	145	11.9	158	13.0
Wolcott	25	5.9	29	6.8
Woodbridge	*	*	*	*
New London Co.	754	11.7	607	9.4
Bozrah	*	*	*	*
Colchester	37	8.1	17	3.7
East Lyme	37	9.2	29	7.0
Franklin	*	*	*	*
Griswold	51	17.6	26	8.9
Groton	114	11.6	85	8.8
Lebanon	25	12.2	20	9.7
Ledyard	40	9.4	20	4.7
Lisbon	*	*	*	*
Lyme	*	*	*	*
Montville	42	9.1	19	4.1
New London	119	20.4	128	22.1
North Stonington	*	*	*	*
Norwich	242	27.6	196	22.4
Old Lyme	*	*	*	*
Preston	*	*	*	*
Salem	*	*	*	*
Sprague	*	*	*	*
Stonington	24	6.1	28	7.0
Voluntown	*	*	*	*
Waterford	23	5.4	39	9.2

Locality	SFY 2006		SFY 2008	
	Sub. Cases	Rate/1,000	Sub. Cases	Rate/1,000
Tolland Co.	199	5.8	173	5.0
Andover	*	*	*	*
Bolton	*	*	*	*
Columbia	*	*	*	*
Coventry	30	9.0	33	9.9
Ellington	15	4.1	*	*
Hebron	*	*	*	*
Mansfield	14	4.3	*	*
Somers	14	6.2	*	*
Stafford	26	8.6	20	6.7
Tolland	*	*	11	2.6
Union	*	*	*	*
Vernon	100	15.2	95	14.4
Willington	*	*	14	11.0
Windham Co.	410	14.0	340	11.5
Ashford	15	13.2	11	9.6
Brooklyn	15	8.1	30	15.9
Canterbury	15	11.4	*	*
Chaplin	*	*	*	*
Eastford	*	*	*	*
Hampton	*	*	*	*
Killingly	113	24.9	72	15.7
Plainfield	66	15.9	67	16.1
Pomfret	*	*	*	*
Putnam	36	16.4	44	20.0
Scotland	*	*	*	*
Sterling	*	*	*	*
Thompson	12	5.1	*	*
Windham	138	25.2	116	21.4
Woodstock	*	*	*	*
CONNECTICUT	9,279	10.7	7,909	9.1

Key * Towns with 10 or fewer unduplicated, substantiated cases of abuse, neglect, or uncared for children.

Child Deaths (Ages 1–14)

Locality	1997-2001		2002-2006		Locality	1997-2001		2002-2006		Locality	1997-2001		2002-2006	
	Child Deaths	Rate/100,000	Child Deaths	Rate/100,000		Child Deaths	Rate/100,000	Child Deaths	Rate/100,000		Child Deaths	Rate/100,000	Child Deaths	Rate/100,000
Fairfield Co.	133	14.7	104	11.3	Hartford Co. cont.					New Haven Co.	123	15.5	103	12.6
Bethel	2	*	1	*	West Hartford	4	*	6	10.9	Ansonia	3	*	2	*
Bridgeport	37	23.7	23	14.7	Wethersfield	2	*	2	*	Beacon Falls	2	*	1	*
Brookfield	0	0	3	*	Windsor	9	33.4	1	*	Bethany	0	0	1	*
Danbury	10	15.8	11	16.6	Windsor Locks	1	*	1	*	Branford	2	*	3	12.7
Darien	4	*	2	*	Litchfield Co.	23	13.0	16	8.7	Cheshire	4	*	5	17.7
Easton	3	*	0	0	Barkhamsted	0	0	1	*	Derby	0	0	1	*
Fairfield	7	12.7	2	*	Bethlehem	1	*	1	*	East Haven	2	*	3	11.8
Greenwich	11	17.3	5	7.7	Bridgewater	0	0	0	0	Guilford	3	*	1	*
Monroe	0	0	1	*	Canaan	0	0	2	*	Hamden	5	22.1	4	*
New Canaan	2	*	1	*	Colebrook	2	*	0	0	Madison	4	*	2	*
New Fairfield	4	*	2	*	Cornwall	0	0	0	0	Meriden	13	21.9	16	26.4
Newtown	3	*	4	12.7	Goshen	0	0	0	0	Middlebury	0	0	0	0
Norwalk	13	17.8	11	14.9	Harwinton	1	*	0	0	Milford	2	*	4	10.2
Redding	0	0	0	0	Kent	0	0	0	0	Naugatuck	5	15.3	4	*
Ridgefield	1	*	4	*	Litchfield	1	*	2	*	New Haven	21	16.9	23	18.4
Shelton	4	*	10	27.4	Morris	0	0	1	*	North Branford	3	*	0	0
Sherman	2	*	1	*	New Hartford	2	*	0	0	North Haven	4	*	2	*
Stamford	12	11.7	11	10.5	New Milford	2	*	2	*	Orange	1	*	1	*
Stratford	4	*	6	13.2	Norfolk	0	0	0	0	Oxford	1	*	1	*
Trumbull	5	14.0	3	*	North Canaan	1	*	0	0	Prospect	1	*	1	*
Weston	1	*	2	*	Plymouth	2	*	3	*	Seymour	2	*	0	0
Westport	2	*	1	*	Roxbury	0	0	0	0	Southbury	4	*	2	*
Wilton	5	22.1	0	0	Salisbury	0	0	0	0	Wallingford	3	*	7	16.7
Hartford Co.	140	16.8	98	11.6	Sharon	1	*	0	0	Waterbury	25	22.0	13	27.2
Avon	1	*	2	*	Thomaston	0	0	1	*	West Haven	6	12.6	4	24.6
Berlin	2	*	0	0	Torrington	4	*	1	*	Wolcott	6	37.4	2	*
Bloomfield	3	*	2	*	Warren	0	0	0	0	Woodbridge	1	*	0	0
Bristol	16	29.2	5	9.0	Washington	0	0	0	0	New London Co.	46	18.4	51	20.0
Burlington	0	0	0	0	Watertown	2	*	1	*	Bozrah	0	0	0	0
Canton	2	*	1	*	Winchester	2	*	1	*	Colchester	2	*	2	*
East Granby	1	*	0	0	Woodbury	2	*	0	0.0	East Lyme	2	*	3	*
East Hartford	12	25.4	11	23.4	Middlesex Co.	17	12.0	13	8.8	Franklin	0	0	0	0
East Windsor	1	*	1	*	Chester	2	*	0	0	Griswold	3	*	1	*
Enfield	7	17.3	5	12.3	Clinton	0	0	2	*	Groton	4	*	13	32.1
Farmington	3	*	2	*	Cromwell	1	*	3	*	Lebanon	1	*	2	*
Glastonbury	2	*	1	*	Deep River	2	*	0	0.0	Ledyard	3	*	1	*
Granby	1	*	1	*	Durham	0	0	1	*	Lisbon	0	0	0	0
Hartford	34	23.7	24	16.6	East Haddam	3	*	0	0	Lyme	0	0	0	0
Hartland	0	0	1	*	East Hampton	2	*	0	0	Montville	7	40.9	2	*
Manchester	7	14.3	7	14.1	Essex	0	0	0	0	New London	2	*	5	0
Marlborough	0	0	1	*	Haddam	0	0	0	0	North Stonington	1	*	1	*
New Britain	16	23.3	10	14.6	Killingworth	1	*	1	*	Norwich	12	35.4	10	29.3
Newington	4	*	2	*	Middlefield	2	*	0	0	Old Lyme	0	0	2	*
Plainville	3	*	1	*	Middletown	4	*	5	13.2	Preston	1	*	1	*
Rocky Hill	3	*	1	*	Old Saybrook	0	0	1	*	Salem	4	*	1	*
Simsbury	1	*	3	*	Portland	0	0	0	0	Sprague	2	*	0	0
South Windsor	1	*	3	*	Westbrook	0	0	0	0	Stonington	0	0	2	*
Southington	3	*	4	*						Voluntown	0	0	1	*
Suffield	1	*	0	0						Waterford	2	*	4	*

Child Deaths

Locality	1997-2001		2002-2006	
	Child Deaths	Rate/100,000	Child Deaths	Rate/100,000
Tolland Co.	18	14.5	11	8.3
Andover	0	0	0	0
Bolton	1	*	0	0
Columbia	0	0	0	0
Coventry	3	*	1	*
Ellington	1	*	2	*
Hebron	3	*	1	*
Mansfield	3	*	1	*
Somers	1	*	3	*
Stafford	3	*	0	0
Tolland	0	0	1	*
Union	0	0	0	0
Vernon	2	*	2	*
Willington	2	*	0	0
Windham Co.	25	23.5	7	6.3
Ashford	0	0	0	0
Brooklyn	2	*	0	0
Canterbury	1	*	1	*
Chaplin	0	0	0	0
Eastford	0	0	0	0
Hampton	1	*	0	0
Killingly	4	*	1	*
Plainfield	6	39.1	1	*
Pomfret	2	*	0	0
Putnam	0	0	2	*
Scotland	0	0	0	0
Sterling	0	0	1	*
Thompson	0	0	0	0
Windham	9	44.0	1	*
Woodstock	0	0	0	0
CONNECTICUT	525	15.8	403	11.8

Key

* Rates for towns in which fewer than five incidents occurred during the reported time period are not calculated because of the unreliability of small numbers

Overall, the incidence of child deaths in Connecticut decreased between the five-year periods of 1997—2001 and 2002—2006. Declines can be seen not only in our largest cities such as Hartford and Waterbury, but also in smaller cities such as Bristol, Greenwich, and Norwich. Unfortunately, increases are evident in a number of communities as well, including Meriden, New Haven, and Shelton. Many towns—both rural and suburban—were untouched by child deaths.

The causes of child deaths are numerous and include: accidental firearms, abuse and neglect, drowning, fires, homicide, accidents, Sudden Infant Death Syndrome (SIDs), suffocation, and suicide. Communities can find solace through information about the causes of death and prevention activities.¹

Prevention can occur at several levels of a child’s life, referred to as the Spectrum of Prevention. These are: (1) increasing individual knowledge and skills—of children, parents, and others; (2) promoting community education; (3) educating providers; (4) fostering coalitions and networks; (5) changing organizational practices; and (6) influencing policy and legislation.

Accidents to children may be thought of as random, but when examined can be seen as predictable. Many risk factors are modifiable, but some require long-term action. Each cause of child injury and death requires analysis and its own prevention strategy. The focus should extend beyond the most obvious prevention check list of physical and social environments to include maternal and child health, problems related to poverty and low income, access to medical care, the quality of housing available to families, and other larger community issues.²



The Connecticut Office of the Child Advocate has developed a compendium of information for towns to develop their own Child Death Review (CDR) Panel and process—to educate families and community members as well as decrease the incidence of child deaths and determine the cause of a particular child’s passing. Members of a community’s CDR should include a cross section of individuals who work with children as well as those involved with law enforcement, criminal investigation, and the medical examiner’s office. Others who also should be included are those who work with families and teens and school administrators.

Judith Carroll

*Director, CT KIDS COUNT Project
Connecticut Association for Human Services*

Endnotes

- 1 Cohen, L. and Swift, S. (1999). The spectrum of prevention: developing a comprehensive approach to injury prevention. *Injury Prevention*. 1999; 5: 203-207.
- 2 National Center for Child Death Review. (2005). Preventing Child Deaths. Michigan Public Health Institute. Retrieved November 20, 2010 from <http://www.childdeathreview.org/preventing.htm#Identify%20Modifiable%20Risk%20Factors>

Preventable Teen Deaths

Overall, the preventable teen death rate for Connecticut increased between the five-year time period 1997-2001 and 2002-2006. Large increases occurred across the state, in mid-size cities, suburbs, and rural areas, at all income levels. The highest rates of relative increase occurred in larger cities and both outer- and inner-ring suburbs.

According to national data, the primary causes of teen deaths are unintentional injuries, homicide, suicide, cancer, and heart disease. Preventable teen death rates vary by age, race/ethnicity, and gender. The incidence of death increases as teens age, especially for boys. Similarly, the type of death varies according to racial/cultural group. Black teens have the highest mortality rate among teenagers. They are twice as likely as Hispanic male teens and 15 times as likely as White male teens to die as a result of a homicide. Nationally, car accidents are the leading cause of death among all teens, accounting for a full third of preventable teen deaths.¹

Nationally and in Connecticut, medical authorities have declared preventable teen deaths a serious public health issue as the rate of teens taking their own lives or suffering from fatal injuries increases.² According to the 2009 Connecticut School Health Survey, 25 percent of high school students felt so hopeless for an extended period of time that they stopped doing regular activities, 14 percent considered suicide, and slightly more than 7 percent actually attempted suicide at least once.³

In an attempt to help teens, the state of Connecticut and others are taking action. The Connecticut Departments of Children and Families and Mental Health and Addiction Services to develop the *CT Youth Suicide Prevention Initiative* in 2006. The Initiative is made up of several parts, including: programs for urban middle school students, all high school students, and those attending the Connecticut university system; training for those who work with youth; and a public education and awareness campaign.⁴

Judith Carroll

Director, CT KIDS COUNT Project
Connecticut Association for Human Services

Endnotes

- 1 Minino, A. (2010). *Mortality among Teenagers Aged 12–19 Years: United States, 1999–2006*. NCHS Data Brief, No. 37. Hyattsville, MD: National Center for Health Statistics. Retrieved November 22, 2010 from <http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/databriefs/db37.pdf>
- 2 Ibid; Connecticut Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services. (n.d.) *Connecticut Youth Suicide Prevention Initiative Final Report*. Hartford, CT: author.
- 3 Connecticut Department of Children and Families Youth Suicide Prevention Board. (2010). Youth Suicide Prevention Packet. Retrieved November 22, 2010 from <http://www.ct.gov/dcf/lib/dcf/prevention/pdf/2010ysabpacket.pdf>
- 4 Connecticut Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services.

Preventable Teen Deaths (Ages 15–19)

Locality	1997-2001		2002-2006	
	Total Deaths	Rate/100,000	Total Deaths	Rate/100,000
Fairfield Co.	107	41.9	112	43.0
Bethel	1	*	1	*
Bridgeport	40	76.5	27	51.7
Brookfield	1	*	0	0
Danbury	4	*	8	33.6
Darien	4	*	1	*
Easton	2	*	1	*
Fairfield	5	23.2	3	*
Greenwich	3	*	8	56.2
Monroe	4	*	2	*
New Canaan	2	*	1	*
New Fairfield	2	*	2	*
Newtown	2	*	3	*
Norwalk	5	24.8	15	73.3
Redding	1	*	0	0
Ridgefield	3	*	1	*
Shelton	3	*	5	45.5
Sherman	2	*	1	*
Stamford	10	35.3	14	48.2
Stratford	4	*	9	67.9
Trumbull	3	*	5	53.2
Weston	1	*	2	*
Westport	4	*	1	*
Wilton	1	*	2	*
Hartford Co.	96	35.1	168	60.4
Avon	2	*	0	0
Berlin	0	0	3	*
Bloomfield	1	*	7	125.6
Bristol	6	35.4	7	40.6
Burlington	0	0	1	*
Canton	2	*	4	*
East Granby	1	*	4	*
East Hartford	4	*	11	75.6
East Windsor	1	*	1	*
Enfield	4	*	3	*
Farmington	4	*	4	*
Glastonbury	2	*	3	*
Granby	0	0	1	*
Hartford	33	63.8	44	84.8
Hartland	2	*	0	0
Manchester	3	*	9	58.7
Marlborough	1	*	3	*
New Britain	11	41.9	15	57.2
Newington	0	0	2	*
Plainville	3	*	2	*
Rocky Hill	0	0	4	*
Simsbury	2	*	4	*
South Windsor	2	*	1	*
Southington	1	*	11	138.3
Suffield	1	*	1	*

Locality	1997-2001		2002-2006	
	Total Deaths	Rate/100,000	Total Deaths	Rate/100,000
Hartford Co. cont.				
West Hartford	4	*	12	49.1
Wethersfield	2	*	0	0
Windsor	3	*	6	65.1
Windsor Locks	1	*	5	135.8
Litchfield Co.	30	55.1	24	42.5
Barkhamsted	0	0	1	*
Bethlehem	0	0	1	*
Bridgewater	0	0	0	0
Canaan	0	0	0	0
Colebrook	0	0	0	0
Cornwall	0	0	0	0
Goshen	0	0	0	0
Harwinton	0	0	3	*
Kent	1	*	0	*
Litchfield	5	196.1	0	0
Morris	0	0	0	0
New Hartford	2	*	2	*
New Milford	6	76.8	5	61.0
Norfolk	2	*	1	*
North Canaan	0	0	0	0
Plymouth	2	*	1	*
Roxbury	0	0	1	*
Salisbury	0	0	1	*
Sharon	0	0	1	*
Thomaston	2	*	1	*
Torrington	4	*	2	*
Warren	0	0	0	0
Washington	0	0	2	*
Watertown	5	73.7	2	*
Winchester	1	*	0	0
Woodbury	0	0	0	0
Middlesex Co.	19	40.6	20	40.9
Chester	0	0	1	*
Clinton	2	*	1	*
Cromwell	0	0	2	*
Deep River	0	0	0	0
Durham	1	*	0	0
East Haddam	4	*	1	*
East Hampton	0	0	2	*
Essex	1	*	1	*
Haddam	1	*	1	*
Killingworth	0	0	0	0
Middlefield	2	*	1	*
Middletown	6	55.3	5	44.6
Old Saybrook	1	*	2	*
Portland	1	*	2	*
Westbrook	0	0	1	*

Locality	1997-2001		2002-2006	
	Total Deaths	Rate/100,000	Total Deaths	Rate/100,000
New Haven Co.	79	29.1	135	48.6
Ansonia	0	0	3	*
Beacon Falls	0	0	1	*
Bethany	1	*	1	*
Branford	2	*	1	*
Cheshire	1	*	1	*
Derby	1	*	1	*
East Haven	4	*	7	87.6
Guilford	2	*	5	70.1
Hamden	7	31.98	6	26.7
Madison	3	*	5	87.0
Meriden	5	28.0	12	66.1
Middlebury	0	0	0	0
Milford	2	*	6	40.7
Naugatuck	2	*	6	56.0
New Haven	25	45.8	31	56.5
North Branford	1	*	6	137.1
North Haven	2	*	3	*
Orange	1	*	2	*
Oxford	1	*	0	0
Prospect	1	*	0	0
Seymour	0	0	4	*
Southbury	1	*	1	*
Wallingford	2	*	7	55.5
Waterbury	8	23.4	11	32.0
West Haven	6	36.8	10	60.7
Wolcott	0	0	3	*
Woodbridge	1	*	2	*
New London Co.	38	45.3	39	45.5
Bozrah	0	0	0	0
Colchester	3	*	1	*
East Lyme	1	*	2	*
Franklin	0	0	0	0
Griswold	1	*	2	*
Groton	7	56.5	1	*
Lebanon	3	*	0	0
Ledyard	2	*	4	*
Lisbon	0	0	1	*
Lyme	0	0	2	*
Montville	5	82.7	3	*
New London	1	*	6	52.2
North Stonington	0	0	0	0
Norwich	5	44.6	11	97.4
Old Lyme	1	*	0	0
Preston	2	*	0	0
Salem	1	*	0	0
Sprague	2	*	0	0
Stonington	2	*	4	*
Voluntown	1	*	1	*
Waterford	1	*	1	*

Locality	1997-2001		2002-2006	
	Total Deaths	Rate/100,000	Total Deaths	Rate/100,000
Tolland Co.	11	19.4	18	29.6
Andover	0	0	2	*
Bolton	0	0	0	0
Columbia	0	0	1	*
Coventry	1	*	4	*
Ellington	1	*	1	*
Hebron	1	*	1	*
Mansfield	0	0	1	*
Somers	1	*	1	*
Stafford	5	127.7	2	*
Tolland	1	*	4	*
Union	0	0	0	0
Vernon	1	*	0	0
Willington	0	0	1	*
Windham Co.	17	41.1	15	34.6
Ashford	0	0	0	0
Brooklyn	0	0	3	*
Canterbury	0	0	1	*
Chaplin	0	0	0	0
Eastford	0	0	1	*
Hampton	0	0	0	0
Killingly	4	*	1	*
Plainfield	4	*	6	109.7
Pomfret	2	*	0	0
Putnam	0	0	1	*
Scotland	0	0	0	0
Sterling	3	*	0	0
Thompson	1	*	0	0
Windham	3	*	2	*
Woodstock	0	0	0	0
CONNECTICUT	397	36.6	531	49.7

Key * Rates for towns in which fewer than five incidents occurred during the reported time period are not calculated because of the unreliability of small numbers

